For EPA Use Only ID #	
SECTOR	

Worksheet 5. Application Summary

03-0029

1. Consortium Name:	Californi	California Walnut Commission/Walnut Marketing Board California					
2. Location:	Californi						
3. Crop:	Walnuts	Walnuts - in the shell and shelled					
Pounds of Methyl 4. Bromide Requested		2005	500,000	lbs.			
Acres Treated (acres) 5. with Methyl Bromide		2005	2,000	Acres			
6. If methyl bromide is r	equested for	additional	years, reason fo	r request:			
6. If methyl bromide is r	equested for	additional	years, reason fo	r request:			
6. If methyl bromide is r		additional	years, reason fo		Acres		

Place an "X" in the column(s) labeled "Not Technically Feasible" and/or "Not Economically Feasible" where appropriate. Use the "Reasons" column to describe why the potential alternative is not feasible.

Potential Alternatives	Not Technically Feasible	Not Economically Feasible	Reasons
Vapam (MS)	x		Equipment not available and works only in soils infiltrating 6 to 9 acre inches in <12 hr (sandy/loam)
Sodium azide	X		More field evaluation needed. Does not kill old roots or the P. vulnus within.
lodomethane	x	X	Field evaluation of English scions to phytotoxicity is not begun.
All other alternates	X	X	These may provide a solution to 1 or 2 components of the replant problem but there are at least 4 components to the problem.
Telone C-35			Does not work well in cool soils. Enhances first year tree growth.
Telone II			Moisture needed at surface at time of application. Too low rate allowed by state.
Chloropicrin			Does enhance growth. Must be applied before mid Nov. to avoid phytosanitary experiences. Label rate too low for 70% of walnut soils.